



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/685,098	10/14/2003	Nobuhiro Itoh	2271/71239	4451
<div>7590 Ivan S. Kavrukov, Esq. Cooper & Dunham LLP 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036</div>				
<div>02/25/2009</div>				
<div>EXAMINER</div>				
<div>PACHOL, NICHOLAS C</div>				
<div>ART UNIT</div>				
<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>				
<div>2625</div>				
<div>MAIL DATE</div>				
<div>DELIVERY MODE</div>				
<div>02/25/2009</div>				
<div>PAPER</div>				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/685,098

Applicant(s)

ITO, NOBUHIRO

Examiner

Nicholas C. Pachol

Art Unit

2625

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nicholas C. Pachol.

(3) Paul Teng (Reg. No. 40,837).

(2) Chan Park.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 19 February 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Tanimoto.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment will be fully considered upon filing of RCE. The examiner requested further clarification in regards to the stamp being a result notification. This will be further considered upon filing of the RCE.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/CHAN S PARK/
Examiner, Art Unit 2625